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## IS A "SPEED RING" NECESSARY

Richard M. Andres


#### Abstract

This paper presents a variation of the problem of maximizing cross-country speed. The intent is to emphasize that the variometer reading alone is sufficient for accomplishing this objective. The maximizing problem is formulated in terms of a sailplane parameter called the ' p ' parameter. The variation of this parameter is investigated for both real and hypothetical sailplanes. The data used for actual sailplanes is a portion of that which has been published by Bikle. Sink rates to be used during an interthermal glide are computed for a range of soaring conditions. The sink rates may then be used to infer the applicability of the approximate rule "fly at a down indication equal to the achieved rate of climb in the last thermal". It is shown that this rule is reasonable for the sailplanes considered. Finally, certain properties of the 'p' parameter are identified; in particular, a highspeed limit is approached which suggests that a sailplane can achieve a cross-country speed of two-thirds of the air-speed if atmospheric sink and wind are negligible.


## INTRODUCTION

The question of the speed to fly between thermals in a well instru-mented, high performance sailplane frequently arises. A neophyte poses the question: how does one apply the technique to club sailplanes, most of which do not have speed rings. Of course, speed rings could be fitted to most variometers at a modest investment for a club. However, the speed ring is really an unnecessary complexity; the variometer indication alone can be used to maximize crosscountry speed. In fact, flying by airspeed could contribute error if the proper calibration is not known.

It will be shown that the approximate rule "fly at a down indication equal to the achieved rate of climb in the last therma1" is indeed quite good and could be applied for nominal conditions of soaring where climbs of 200 to 700 feet per minute are achieved.

## MAXIMIZING CROSS-COUNTRY SPEED

It has been amply demonstrated (MacCready, et al) that an optimum airspeed exists for maximizing crosscountry speed. This optimum speed to fly depends on thermal strength, sink between thermals, and the glide polar of the sailplane. Carmichae ${ }^{1}$ summarized much of the existing work on the subject. The approach in this paper is somewhat different than Carmichael's as airspeed is virtually excluded as a predominant parameter.

Maximizing average airspeed will maximize average ground speed independent of wind speed and direction so the wind is not a consideration for interthermal glide speed. The ground speed made good does of course depend on wind speed and is given by the relation

$$
V_{e f f}=\frac{V t_{g}-V_{w}\left(t_{g}+t_{c}\right)}{\left(t_{g}+t_{c}\right)}
$$

where $V t_{g}$ is the distance flown relative to the air while gliding, and $V_{W}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is the distance the air mass translates relative to the ground during both the climb and glide. Equation (2) is based on the assumption that altitude h lost during a glide will be gained in the next thermal; $t_{g}$ and $t_{c}$ are replaced by $h / w g$ and $h / w_{c}$ respectively, and equation (1) becomes:
2)

$$
V_{e f f}=\frac{V_{w_{c}}-V_{w}\left(w_{c}+w_{g}\right)}{\left(w_{c}+w_{g}\right)}
$$

Given a climb rate $w_{c}$, we wish to select a variometer reading ${ }^{W} g$ that will maximize Veff. Applying the
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maximizing condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d V_{e f f}}{d w_{g}}=0 & =\frac{\left[w_{c}\left(d V / d w_{g}\right)-V_{w}\right]}{\left(w_{c}+w_{g}\right)} \\
& -\frac{\left[V w_{c}-V_{w}\left(w_{c}+w_{g}\right)\right]}{\left(w_{c}+w_{g}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, $\left(w_{c}+w_{g}\right) \neq 0$ so the maximizing condition becomes:

$$
w_{C}+w_{g}=V\left(d w_{g} / d V\right)
$$

The down indication between thermals is the sum of sailplane sink relative to the air, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}$, and atmospheric sink $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

$$
w_{g}=w_{a}+w_{s}
$$

If the atmospheric sink is assumed nearly constant:

$$
\mathrm{d} w_{\mathrm{a}}=0, \mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{g}} / \mathrm{dV}=\mathrm{d} w_{\mathrm{S}} / \mathrm{dV}
$$

3) $w_{c}+w_{g}=V\left(d w_{S} / d V\right.$

The right hand side of equation (3) is strictly a sailplane characteristic which can be determined from the sailplane polar. It is convenient to write equation (3) as:
4) $\left(w_{c}+w_{g}\right)=\left(w_{S}\right)\left(V / w_{S}\right)\left(d w_{S} / d V\right)=$ $\left(w_{g}-w_{a}\right)\left(V / w_{S}\right)\left(d w_{S} / d V\right)$

EVALUATION OF THE GENERALIZED PERFORMANCE PARAMETER

The parameter $p=\left(v / w_{S}\right)\left(d w_{S} / d V\right)$ is a non-dimensional performance parameter and must be obtained from the sailplane polar of $w_{S}$ (sink speed) versus $V$ (air speed). Because $W s$ and $V$ are functionally related by the performance polar, the parameter ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) . ( $\mathrm{dw}_{\mathrm{S}} / \mathrm{dV}$ ) may be thought of as a function of either $w_{S}$ or $V$. For our purposes, it is convenient to consider it a function of $w_{S}$. For this paper, the parameter $p$ was calculated using some of the most realistic sailplane data available; that as presented by

|  | 40 | $50$ <br> Sin <br> Comp | AIRSP <br> 60 <br> Rate (W <br> d para | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{KNO} \\ 70 \\ \text { Feet } \mathrm{P} \\ \text { ter } \mathrm{p}= \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { Minute } \\ \left.\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)(\mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | dv) | 100 | 110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kestrel | $\begin{aligned} & 148 \\ & -2.678 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 132 \\ & 0.996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168 \\ & 1.532 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 219 \\ & 1.913 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 287 \\ & 2.105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 372 \\ & 2.374 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495 \\ & 3.098 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 672 \\ & 2.923^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Diamant | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & -1.657 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131 \\ & 1.337 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168 \\ & 1.415 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 219 \\ & 2.142 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 307 \\ & 2.844 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 435 \\ & 3.011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 598 \\ & 3.044 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 803 \\ & 3.123 \end{aligned}$ |
| Phoebus C | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & -1.594 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 1.264 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 184 \\ & 2.054 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257 \\ & 2.234 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 347 \\ & 2.269 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 458 \\ & 2.502 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 609 \\ & 2.881 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 790 \\ & 2.216 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cirrus | $\begin{aligned} & 138 \\ & -2.550 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 1.228 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 173 \\ & 1.473 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 230 \\ & 2.252 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 319 \\ & 2.537 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 430 \\ & 2.580 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 577 \\ & 3.052 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 766 \\ & 2.374 \end{aligned}$ |
| T-6 | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & -0.793 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 1.115 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 179 \\ & 1.540 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 236 \\ & 2.114 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 326 \\ & 2.675 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 450 \\ & 2.704 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 590 \\ & 2.454 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 758 \\ & 3.120 \end{aligned}$ |
| Phoebus A | $\begin{aligned} & 151 \\ & -2.269 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152 \\ & 1.461 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 207 \\ & 1.845 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 282 \\ & 2.166 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 380 \\ & 2.257 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 497 \\ & 2.360 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 655 \\ & 2.967 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 890 \\ & 3.234 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 1. Computed Value of the Parameter $p$.

Bikle ${ }^{2}$. Sink rate at air speeds of 40 through 110 knots, in $10-\mathrm{kt}$ increments, was used to define the polars of six sailplanes.

Initially, an attempt was made to determine the parameter p graphically by drawing a tangent to the polar at various points and measuring the slope (dws/dV). It was found that significant variations in the result, following repeated trials, were obtained using this technique, especially at the extreme ends of the polar. It was decided to abandon the graphical technique as being too subjective. Instead, the polar of a particular sailplane from 40 to 110 knots was represented by a seventh-order polynomial using Newton's forward formula. The polynomial goes through each of the eight given data points defining the
polar points and the slope of the polynominal is analytically calculated at each of these points. The results of these computer calculations are given in table (1) and figure (2). The results are not gratifying in that the curves for different sailplanes show variations that are difficult to explain and suggest that this parameter is sensitive to small errors in the data. Differentiations of discretely defined functions in general present difficulties.

## OPTIMUM DOWN INDICATION BETWEEN THERMALS

An "outer envelope" and an "inner envelope" were drawn to bracket the pparameter for all six sailplanes investigated. (figure 1). The equation:


FIGURE 1. Solutions for Optimum Sink ( $W_{s}$ ).

$$
w_{c}+w_{g}=w_{S} P
$$

is re-written in the form:

$$
\left(w_{c}+w a\right)=\left(w_{S}(p-1)\right.
$$

and is cross-plotted on figure (1) for selected values of $\left(w_{c}+w_{a}\right)$. Intersection of these curves of constant ( $w_{c}+w_{a}$ ) with the p-envelope curves define the limits of $w_{S}$ for the data presented. Table (2) gives the glide


TABLE 2. Optimum Glide Solutions Based on Figure 1.
variometer reading to be used based on the envelopes, and in addition, presents an average of the two envelope extremes. It will be demonstrated that this average, which is close to what one might have using a best fit curve through all the data points, is very close to a theoretical result which will be presented.

Table (1) includes some assumptions as to atmospheric sink between thermals. Under normal cumulus conditions, it is generally agreed that there is sinking of the air between the thermals and that the strength of the sink increases with an increase in thermal strength, although other factors, such as the area coverage and number density of thermals, are also very important. The selected values of $w_{a}=20 \%$ and $25 \%$ of $w_{c}$ are considered reasonable by this
author. Considering the uncertainty in the estimate of $w_{c}$ (our average climb rate) and variations experienced during the interthermal slide, the differences between the appropriate $w_{g}$ and $w_{c}$ of table (1) indeed appear sma11.

ANALYTIC FORMULATION
OF THE P- PARAMETER

Referring again to the data of Bikle, the curves of drag coefficient versus lift coefficient squared are reasonably straight lines for a lift coefficient range of about 0.1 to 0.8 . This means the classic model of drag variation; $C_{D}=C_{D_{e}}+C_{L}{ }^{2} / \pi A R e$ can be applied to sailplanes. Using this model of drag variation, it can be shown that the p-parameter takes the form:


FIGURE 2. Solution for Optimum Sink $\left(w_{s}\right)$ Based on Model Drag Relation.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}=1+\left[\frac{2}{1+\frac{1}{\pi A R e}}\right]\left[\frac{C_{D}-\frac{C_{L}^{2}}{\pi A R e}}{C_{D e}-\frac{C_{L}^{2}}{\pi A R e}}\right] \\
& =1+2\left[\frac{C_{D}-\frac{C_{L}^{2}}{\pi A R e}}{C_{D}+\frac{C_{L}{ }^{2}}{\pi A R e}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The second approximate form is applicable as for high performance sailplanes

$$
\frac{1}{\pi \mathrm{ARe}} \ll 1 .
$$

Several limits of the p-parameter are immediately apparent although not within the operating range of the aircraft:
a) High speed: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}} \rightarrow 0, \mathrm{p} \rightarrow 3$
b) Low speed: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}} \rightarrow \infty, \mathrm{p} \rightarrow-1$

The conditions of interest which are in the operating range of the sailplane are
c) Minimum Sink, $C_{D_{e}}=\frac{1}{3}\left(C_{L}^{2} / \pi A R e\right)$,

$$
\mathrm{p}=0\left(\text { maximum } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}} 3 / 2 / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)
$$

d) Best $L / D, \quad C_{D_{e}}=C_{L}^{2} / \pi A R e$,

$$
\mathrm{p}=1 \text { (maxımum } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}}
$$

e)

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{e}=3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} / \pi \mathrm{ARe}\right),
$$

$$
\left.\mathrm{p}=2 \text { (maximum } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}} 1 / 2 / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)
$$

As the glide speed for cross-country soaring lies between speed for best L/D and maximum speed, the theoretical value of the p-parameter must lie between 1 and 3. The p-parameter can be graphed versus air speed using the relations:

$$
\tan v=-C_{D} / C_{L}, v=\sqrt{\frac{2 W \cos v}{\rho S C_{L}}}
$$

Figure (2) shows the variation of the p-parameter for two combinations of the parameters $W / S$ (wing loading), $C_{D_{e}}$ (zero lift intercept of drag coefficient) and ARe (effective aspect radio). It is observed that curves (A and B) of figure 2 are nearly the mean of the inner and outer envelope of figure (1). The $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}}$ range of .008 to .010 is representative of high performance sailplanes as are effective aspect ratios of about 15 to 20 .

## SPEED LIMIT FOR STRONG CONDITIONS

Under very strong conditions, the parameter $p$ approaches the value 3 . Under the same limiting conditions, the solution becomes
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{c}+w_{g} & =3 w_{s}=3\left(w_{g}-w_{a}\right) \\
w_{g} & =\frac{1}{2} w_{c}+\frac{3}{2} w_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

The effective air speed becomes:

$$
V_{e f f}=\frac{V\left(w_{c}\right)}{w_{c}+w_{g}}=V \frac{w_{c}}{\frac{3}{2}\left(w_{c}+w_{a}\right)}
$$

$$
V_{\text {eff }}=\frac{2}{3} V\left(\xrightarrow[w_{c}+w_{a}]{w_{c}}\right)
$$

If we neglect atmospheric sink $w_{a}$, the upper limit of effective airspeed becomes two-thirds of the flight speed between thermals. Under very strong conditions, the limit on $V_{\text {eff }}$ becomes the airspeed we are willing to drive the glider, V. It appears that many sailplanes are already approaching this $V_{e f f}$ limit in contest triangles.

## CONCLUSION

Performance data for six sailplanes has been evaluated in terms of a generalized ' $p$ ' parameter. This parameter has also been determined theoretically for two hypothetical sailplanes for which the polars exhibit a quadratic variation of
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drag coefficient with lift coefficient. Both of the hypothetical sailplanes' performance curves appear to fall a1most centrally within the envelope of points for the six actual sailplanes.

The broad width of the envelope representing data for the six sailplanes could be in part due to error in the data, or, in the technique employed for computation of the ' $p$ ' parameter. Further study should be made in both analysis and collection of data.

The ' p ' parameter is used to determine the variometer reading to be used during an interthermal glide such that crosscountry speed will be maximized. A knowledge of atmospheric sink is presumed. It has been demonstrated that if atmospheric sink is assumed linearly related to the thermal strength, the approximate rule, "fly at a down indication equal to the achieved rate of climb in the last thermal" is a simple approach to achieving a near maximum speed.

Finally, certain properties of the ' $p$ ' parameter have been identified; in particular, a high speed limit is approached (very strong conditions) which yields a maximum cross-country speed of two-thirds of the airspeed if atmospheric sink and wind are negligible.

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$h=\begin{aligned} & \text { Climb in thermal or loss of } \\ & \text { height between thermals }\end{aligned}$
$t_{c}=$ Time required to thermal $h$ feet
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Time in glide to lose h feet
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ Atmospheric sink between thermals
$w_{g}=$ Rate of sink of sailplane between thermals (variometer reading)
$w_{c}=$ Achieved rate of climb in
thermal
$w_{S}=$ Sailplane polar sink rate as a
function of airspeed
$\mathrm{V}=$ Airspeed of sailplane
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ Wind speed (positive for
headwind)
$V_{\text {eff }}=$ Effective ground or cross-
country speed
$\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A}$ constant in the approximation
of a sailplane polar
$p=\left(V / w_{S}\right)\left(d w_{s} / d V\right)$ sailplane per-
formance parameter
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ Lift coefficient
$C_{D}=$ Drag coefficient
$C_{D_{e}}=$ Zero 1 ift intercept of the
linear $C_{D}$ vs $C_{L}{ }^{2}$ representation
of the drag polar
ARe $=$ Effective aspect ratio
$v=$ F1ight path angle
$W=$ Weight
$\rho=$ Air density
$\mathrm{S}=$ Wing area
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