Word Embeddings Based on Spectral Analysis: A Novel Approach
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Abstract. Recently, deep learning algorithms have gained huge attention. However, such algorithms are not the optimal solution for many tasks. Spectral analysis transformation algorithms, such as wavelet-transform and Fourier transform, have been successfully applied on many NLP tasks. The challenging issue of using spectral analysis is how to construct a meaningful signal from a text. In word\textsubscript{2}vec models, different types of neural networks have been applied to learn vector representations of words, which carry the semantic similarities of each word in a specific dataset. Training the word embeddings is computationally very expensive and constrained by the available resources. However, this paper provides an optimized computational complexity for developing word embeddings using parallel computing as well as utilizing an upper ontology to represent the main components of the word vector. Moreover, this research shows how to represent a term as a vector to facilitate computing the similarity or relatedness among different terms. Therefore, this research considers the spectral analysis, which also includes the spatial information of the words around the current word.
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1. Introduction

Spectral analysis transformation algorithms, such as wavelet-transform and Fourier transform, have been successfully applied for many NLP tasks. Such tasks include information retrieval (Park et al., 2005\textsuperscript{a}; Park et al., 2005\textsuperscript{b}; Dahab et al., 2018\textsuperscript{a}; Dahab et al., 2018\textsuperscript{b}; Dahab et al., 2016; Alnofaie et al., 2016; Aljaloud et al., 2016; Costa & Melucci, 2010), text classification (Diwali et al., 2015), text clustering (Al-Mofareji et al., 2017), etc. These approaches can also be utilized in developing word embeddings as well.

In word\textsubscript{2}vec models, different types of neural networks have been applied to learn vector representations of words, which carry the semantic similarities of each word in a specific dataset. Training the word embeddings is computationally very expensive. However, this paper provides an optimized computational complexity for developing word embeddings (Mikolov et al., 2013\textsuperscript{b}; Rong, 2014; Yao et al., 2017).

The state-of-the-art algorithms predict the current word based on the context only, which is the surrounding words given the current word. Therefore, words outside the determined context are disregarded. This research takes into consideration the words outside the determined context but with a lower weight. Moreover, this research considers the spectral analysis of the words around the current word (focal word) rather than the spatial information of words around the current word.

The main aim of this manuscript can be summarized as follows:
To represent the terms as vectors where semantically related words are mapped to nearby points. This facilitates the application of mathematical operators such as the following famous example (Mikolov et al., 2013a; Drozd et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016):

\[
\text{king} - \text{man} + \text{woman} = \text{queen}
\]

To solve the time complexity problem related to the word embeddings, parallel computing has been used as well as applying spectral analysis transformation. To the best knowledge of the authors, this is the first paper that addresses the word embeddings employing the spectral analysis transformation.

To consider the terms outside the current context with a different weight depending on the distance.

To determine the dimensionality of the word vector by employing a representative parametric light version - the Suggested Upper Merged Ontology (SUMO) (Niles & Pease, 2001).

The remaining part of the manuscript is outlined as follows: Section (2) presents the related background, Section (3) shows the preprocessing tasks and introduces the proposed work, Section (4) demonstrates the experiments and the datasets used in this research, and finally, the conclusion is given in Section (5).

### 2. Background and Related Work

In word2vec models, different types of neural networks have been applied to learn vector representations of words, which carry the semantic similarities of each word in a specific dataset. Training the word embeddings is computationally very expensive (Mikolov et al., 2013; Rong, 2014; Yao et al., 2017).

A word vector contains real values and dimensions, where each value captures a dimension of the word’s meaning (Senel et al., 2018). Each dimension represents a meaning, and the numerical value holds on that dimension represents the distance to that meaning. The words that have similar values should have similar or related meanings. In other words, the semantics of a word are embedded through the dimensions of the vector (Levy & Goldberg, 2014). The more the dimensionality of the word vector and the training data, the more accuracy of the extracted semantic relationship (Mikolov et al., 2013a). Many research provided efforts to apply mathematical operators on word vector, such as the following famous example (Mikolov et al., 2013a; Drozd et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016):

\[
\text{king} - \text{man} + \text{woman} = \text{queen}
\]

Many researches convert text to numerical signal (Park et al., 2005a; Park et al., 2005b; Dahab et al., 2018a; Dahab et al., 2018b; Dahab et al., 2016; Alnofaie et al., 2016; Aljaloud et al., 2016; Costa & Melucci, 2010; Diwali et al., 2015; Al-Mofarej et al., 2017) and apply signal processing and transformation such as wavelet-transform and Fourier transform in many text mining problems such as text classification, text clustering, and information retrieval. The main idea is how to measure the distances among different terms in a text document, taking into consideration the different occurrence of terms or how to measure the relatedness of a text document to a given query.

### 3. The Proposed Work

The proximity method calculates the relatedness score based on the distance between two given terms of a specific domain or a text corpus. The larger the distance, the lower the relatedness score and vice versa. The proximity, between two terms \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), can be considered as a measure of terms’ dependency (Cummins & O’Riordan, 2009).

The proximity method, that is based on spectral analysis, depends on the spectral domain rather than the spatial domain. To perform this task, after preprocessing the corpora under consideration, a concordance signal is constructed for each two terms, \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), in all documents. Then, the concordance signal is converted into a concordance spectrum using one of the wavelet-transform methods.

#### 3.1. Preprocessing

The preprocessing task includes:

1. Verifying the Unicode used in the text.
2. Tokenizing and assembling all terms in the corpora under consideration into a collection.
4. Calculating the weight of each term using Inverse Document Frequency (IDF).
5. Removing the terms with low weight i.e., stopwords.

3.2. Determining a Representative Parametric Light Version from SUMO

The general part of SUMO contains 1,116 terms, 692 of them are classes (Merge.kif, version 1.75) (Reiter, 2007). SUMO is general enough to cover a wide range of different domains. To determine the dimensionality of the word vector by employing a representative parametric light version of SUMO. Determining the dimensionality of the word vector to form Main Component List (MCL) is based on two conditions:

1. Selecting a subset from SUMO terms that covers all domains.
2. The terms selected should have a high frequency in the corpus under consideration.

Based on past researches, it is preferable for MCL to have from 300 to 800 components.

3.3. Constructing Term Signal

Each document is divided into a predefined number of segments/bins, $B$. To facilitate computing wavelet-transform, $B = 2^z$ where $z \in N$. If $B$ is very small, this means that many irrelevant words will be found in the same bin and accuracy becomes very low, and vice versa. Also, If $B$ is very large, this indicates that a lot of computations will be needed. Many researches (Park et al., 2005a; Park et al., 2005b; Dahab et al., 2018a; Dahab et al., 2018b; Alnofaie et al., 2016; Aljaloud et al., 2016) use a fixed value of $B$ despite the length of documents. To make $B$ varies with any length of a document, $L$, and to fix the number of words in a bin, $W$, the following equation is used:

$$B = 2^\left\lfloor \log \left( \frac{L}{WP} \right) \right\rfloor$$

The equation (1) shows that the length of a document, $L$, and the desired number of words in a bin, $W$, are parameters in the function in computing $B$.

Defining $B$ should consider the average length of the documents and the length of the target context. However, the proposed work evaluates the relatedness of the surrounding terms with a penalty. The penalties depend on distance, such that if the distance increases, the penalty does, too, as will be shown later. A term signal, which is constructed for each term $t$ in a document $d$, is represented by the following equation, inspired from (Dahab et al., 2016):

$$\tilde{f}_{t,d} = [f_{t,1,d}, f_{t,2,d}, ..., f_{t,B,d}]$$

(2)

where $\tilde{f}_{t,d}$ is the term signal of $t$ in the document $d$. The expression $f_{t,n,d}$ represents a signal component for $1 \leq n \leq B$. The signal component $f_{t,n,d}$ is equal to 1 if the $t$ is found in the bin $n$ in the document $d$, otherwise, it is equal to zero.

For the purpose of parallel computing, a file is divided into a number of parts depending on the number of processes, $nP$, used in the computation. In addition, each process deals with a number of bins, $nB$.

$$nB = \frac{B}{nP}$$

(3)

Each process is assigned an incremental number (i.e. rank) starting from zero till $nP - 1$. The process number $k$ deals with bins from $nB \times k$ till $nB \times (k + 1) - 1$. For gathering data from different processes on very efficient space and time, the term signal is represented temporarily as follows:

$$\tilde{f}_{t,d} = [B_1, ...]$$

(4)

where $B_i$ is the bin number in which the term $t$ is found.
3.4. Constructing Concordance Signal

A concordance signal is a sequence of values that shows the occurrence of two given terms together, \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), in all documents in a particular section or bin of a document. The concordance signal for two given terms \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) in a document \( d \) is constructed from \( f_{t_1,d} \) and \( f_{t_2,d} \) using equation (5).

\[
C_{t_1,t_2,d} = \sum_{k=i-m}^{k=i+m} f_{t_1,i,d} \times \frac{f_{t_2,k,d}}{2^{|k-i|}}
\] (5)

where \( C_{t_1,t_2,d} \) is a single component of the concordance signal. The equation (5) is applied simply after applying the zero-padding technique in both \( f_{t_1,d} \) and \( f_{t_2,d} \) with \( m \) length. The expression \( 2^{|k-i|} \) represents a penalty if both \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are close to each other but in different bins. The larger the distance, the larger the penalty, and vice versa. By applying equation (5), the component \( C_{t_1,t_2,d} \) becomes zero if both terms \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) do not exist in the bin \( i \) or if a single term appears while the second term does not. The parameter \( m \) is important to enlarge the context, but at the same time, it may affect the accuracy if it is large. The \( \hat{C}_{t_1,t_2,d} \) is a whole signal that is constructed for a single document \( d \). The \( \hat{C}_{t_1,t_2} \) is the summation of all signals \( \hat{C}_{t_1,t_2,d} \) for all documents. The following equation (6) shows how to construct a single component \( i \) of \( \hat{C}_{t_1,t_2} \).

\[
C_{t_1,t_2} = \sum_{d \in D} C_{t_1,t_2,d}
\] (6)

where \( D \) is the set of all documents in the considered corpus.

The concordance signal is constructed for any given input term with all terms in the MCL list to construct a word vector.

3.5. Applying Wavelet-Transform

Wavelets are defined by the wavelet function \( \psi(t) \) and scaling function \( \varphi(t) \) in the time domain. The wavelet function is described as \( \psi \in L^2 \mathbb{R} \) with a zero average and norm of 1. A wavelet can be scaled and translated by adjusting the parameters \( s \) and \( u \), respectively (Daubechies, 1996).

\[
\psi_{u,s}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \psi\left(\frac{t-u}{s}\right)
\] (7)

Pywavelet (Lee et al., 2006) is adopted to apply one of the available discrete wavelet-transform algorithms such as Biorthogonal, Coiflets, Daubechies, Symlets, Haar, etc.

The wavelet-transform algorithm is applied on the concordance signal \( \hat{C}_{t_1,t_2} \). The score, which represents the relatedness between two terms, is computed from the magnitude of the signal \( \hat{C}_{t_1,t_2} \).

3.6. Parallel Computing

Most of the work is implemented on Aziz super computer using the Python programming language (3.6.8 Anaconda, Inc.) and MPI-2 (Dalcin et al., 2011). All jobs were submitted using 16 nodes, 7 cores each.

The parallel computing is essential for the following tasks:

- Determining the terms' positions within bins in all fields.
- Searching for a term in all bins.
- Generating concordance signals that are constructed with a given input term and selected terms from SUMO.

\footnote{https://www.hpcc-kau.com/}
4. The Experiments and Results

In this research, many corpora are used, these corpora are listed below:

- The UMBC webBase corpus ([http://ebiq.org/r/351](http://ebiq.org/r/351)). The corpus contains 408 files which is about 48GB.
- The remaining corpora are used through Natural Language Tool Kit (NLTK):%0a
  - The Gutenberg corpus, which contains some 25,000 electronic books, hosted at [http://www.gutenberg.org](http://www.gutenberg.org).
  - The Webtext corpus, which is a collection of web text, includes content from a Firefox discussion forum, conversations overheard, movie script, personal advertisements, and other reviews.
  - The Brown corpus, developed by Brown University, contains text from 500 sources, and the sources have been categorized by genre, such as news, editorial, etc.
  - Inaugural address corpus, which is actually a collection of 55 texts, one for each presidential address.

4.1. Constructing Concordance Signal

Each document is divided into a number of bins, $B$, depending on the number of words in a bin, $W$. In this experiment, the number of words has been selected to ($W = 7$). The concordance signal is constructed with a given input term with a selected subset from SUMO. In this experiment, the MCL has been constructed from 150 terms that are selected based form six base classes: situation (15 terms), action (47 terms), event (15 terms), time (16 terms), personal (21 terms) and physical entity (36 terms). All selected terms from SUMO are in the stem format using the same stemmer so that the comparison will match the stored terms. Using SUMO enables to expand matching among terms from lexical matching to semantic matching.

Ten terms are selected to investigate the relatedness among them. Table (1) shows the relatedness among the selected terms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dog</th>
<th>cat</th>
<th>girl</th>
<th>boy</th>
<th>man</th>
<th>woman</th>
<th>mother</th>
<th>father</th>
<th>mouse</th>
<th>horse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cat</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>girl</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boy</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>man</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>woman</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mother</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>father</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horse</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The relatedness among the selected terms

As shown in the previous table, the relatedness relation is symmetric, and any term is totally related to itself. Two terms, mouse and horse, are not related to any terms according to the current MCL. The distance from the term dog to terms man and woman are equal. Also, the distance from the term dog to terms mother and father are approximately equal. Table (2) shows the frequency of the most frequent terms after stemming.

---

2 [https://www.nltk.org/](https://www.nltk.org/)
Table 2. The Frequency of The Most Frequent Terms

4.2. Parallel Computing

The parallel computing is essential for both determining and searching a term within bins in all files. Using $W = 7$ with the aforementioned corpora makes the number of bins very large which is stored in about $\sim 2.73$GB of a compressed indexed file. The following structure is used to store terms in bins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Bin Number</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5. Conclusion and Future Work

The classical natural language processing systems deal with words as discrete atomic symbols while using vector representations can overcome some of these obstacles and provide much more information about words.

SUMO may be combined with Mid-Level Ontology (MILO), and this merged version has 27,684 terms divided into 7,772 concepts and 19,912 instances, along with $\sim 80,000$ axioms.

More complicated MCL may be investigated with different corpora. Investigating the proposed work with a different domain, such as sentiment analysis is highly recommended. Applying the suggested work on different natural languages such as Arabic will enrich the language with good resources. The dimensionality is the major problem in representing the word vector.

Moreover, one of the proposed works is to represent MCL using base classes in SUMO instead of terms. In this case, a component in MCL can be a function of all terms in the base class.
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