A 63 year old, postmenopausal, multiparous woman presented with post-menopausal bleeding and a vaginal mass. Pelvic examination revealed a 5 cm mass protruding from the cervical canal. Abdominal examination was unremarkable. An MRI of the pelvis was requested and endometrial biopsy was obtained.

**MRI findings:** Sagittal T2W MRI image through the pelvis demonstrates a large, heterogeneous, intermediate signal intensity mass centred on the endometrial cavity, with growth into the cervix. The tumour is attached to the inverted fundus, with prolapse into the external os as well as vagina. Although there is distention of the vaginal fornices, there is no convincing invasion of the vagina. Post contrast T1W images (not shown) confirm solid, areas of flame-type enhancement, typical for an inverted uterine sarcoma.

An endometrial biopsy was performed and revealed serous adenocarcinoma of the uterus, suspicious for carcinosarcoma. The patient underwent total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, omentectomy and tumour debulking. Surgical staging was consistent with stage IV disease. Histopathology confirmed poorly differentiated heterologous carcinosarcoma (mixed Mullerian mesodermal tumour) with serous epithelial components. Her postoperative recovery was uneventful. In view of her high grade tumour histology, chemotherapy was recommended.

Carcinosarcoma of the uterus (also known as malignant mixed Mullerian tumour, MMMT) is a rare and highly aggressive form of uterine cancer (1). Uterine inversion in a non-pregnant woman is a rare occurrence, with only 150 cases reported from 1887 to 2006 (2). 85% of these cases were related to benign uterine pathologies, such as prolapse and submucosal uterine leiomyomata. 15% of cases were secondary to malignant uterine tumours (3). The exact mechanism of non-puerperal uterine inversion is unknown. However, the condition is typically associated with the presence of a polypoid uterine mass (2). Possible mechanisms underlying uterine prolapse include (a) sudden extrusion of a tumour from the uterus, (b) thin uterine wall, (c) dilatation of the uterine cervix, (d) large tumour size, (e) thick tumour pedicle (3).

Patients may present with pelvic pain, abnormal uterine bleeding, a pelvic mass or anuria (4). Unlike
puerperal uterine inversion, haemodynamic shock is uncommon (3). Non-puerperal uterine inversion may be diagnosed clinically, radiologically, or at the time of surgery (5).

Clinically, the diagnosis may be suspected if there is a large vaginal mass and difficulty in palpating the cervix (4). MRI is useful for diagnosing inversion and will often demonstrate a U-shaped uterine cavity with ovaries retracted to the midline (3).

Standard, evidence-based treatment includes bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy with pelvic lymph node dissection. Adjuvant radiation therapy, chemotherapy and/or hormonal therapy may be appropriate for some patients, depending on the extent of disease (2). Resection of the inverted uterus may necessitate an incision in the uterine wall in rare cases of complete uterine inversion with a tight constriction ring.

Conclusion:

Non-puerperal uterine inversion occurs infrequently and is usually associated with benign pathology. However, in post-menopausal women, a high index of suspicion for uterine malignancy, particularly sarcoma, should be maintained.
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