Scent-marking by Wolves (Canis lupus) includes both urine-marking and ground-scratching, usually by dominant breeding males and females (Peters and Mech 1975; Harrington and Asa 2003). Most information about Wolf urine-marking has been collected during winter by tracking Wolves in the snow (Peters and Mech 1975; Rothman and Mech 1979; Paquet and Fuller 1991; Paquet et al. 2003) or during other seasons by studying captive Wolves (Harrington 1981; Raymer et al. 1984; Asa et al. 1986, 1990; Ryon and Brown 1990; Barja and Miguel 2004). Only scattered mentions can be found of free-ranging Wolves urine-marking during summer (Clark 1971; Haber 1977; Mech 1991, 1995), and only one study of Wolf ground-scratching in summer has been done (Zub et al. 2003). Detailed information about urine-marking in wild Wolves during summer is lacking because, with a few exceptions (Murie 1944; Clarke 1971; Haber 1977; Mech 1988), it has been difficult to observe Wolves in the wild during summer because of their usual fear and avoidance of humans.

I observed free-ranging Wolves in the Canadian High Arctic over a period of 20 summers, where the Wolves are highly tolerant of humans (Parmelee 1964; Miller 1978; Mech 1988). There I obtained detailed data on their urine-marking and ground-scratching behavior.

Study Area
The study area includes about 2600 km² of the Fosheim Peninsula east, north and west of Eureka on Ellesmere Island (80°N latitude, 86°W longitude), Nunavut, Canada. During summer, daylight is constant. The area includes shoreline, hills, lowlands, creek bottoms and the area around Blacktop Ridge. Contrary to much of the surrounding region, this area is generally snow- and ice-free in summer, and contains rock, gravel, bare soil and scattered tundra and northern wetland vegetation. Wolves, Muskoxen (Ovibos moschatus) and Arctic Hares (Lepus arcticus) have long been common in the area (Tener 1954), and Wolves have denned there over decades or possibly centuries (Parmelee 1964; Grace 1976; Mech 1988; Mech and Packard 1990). Aside from intermittent scavenging around a weather station and military base, the main foods of the Wolves I studied were Muskoxen and Arctic Hares (Tener 1954), although seals (Phoca spp.) and Lemmings (Dicrostonyx groenlandicus) are also taken occasionally.

Methods
The Wolves I studied live far enough from exploitation and persecution by humans that they are relatively unafraid of people (Mech 1988, 1995). During 1986, I habituated a Wolf pack to my presence and reinforced the habituation each summer I observed them. The pack frequented the same area each summer and usually used the same den or nearby dens. The habituation allowed me and an associate to remain with the Wolves daily, to recognize them individually, and to watch them regularly from 10 to 200-m away, and often as close as 1 m (Mech 1988, 1995). This pack had disappeared by 2001, but by 2003 another pack, similarly habituated, was present (Mech 2005).

Although I made no attempt to systematically or completely record every urination or scratching made by each Wolf, I did note as many such events as I could, usually those made by breeding males and females, while I was observing general behavior. I identified breeding females by observing them nursing pups or by the presence of obvious teats. Breeding males were identified by their dominance over other males and their
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overall initiative and control of pack activities (Schenkel 1947; Mech 1970, 1999; Peterson et al. 2002).

I collected data over periods of 1-8 weeks from June through early August 1986-2005, except 1997, 1999, 2000, and 2001. Most of the longest data collection took place 1986-1996 (Table 1). Because study periods, pack behavior, and observation emphasis varied over the summers and years (Table 2), biases in the collection of urine-marking and ground-scratching data over both the total duration of the study and over the seasonal extent of the study each summer probably affected the data collected. Thus only the data on ratios of marking postures should be unbiased enough to warrant statistical testing, and I make no attempt to draw detailed conclusions from the untested data. However, these data are still useful to make broader conclusions and to establish several facts that heretofore were unknown or poorly documented in free-ranging Wolves during summer.

Results

I identified three breeding female and three breeding male Wolves, plus one pair without pups, that traveled, hunted and marked together (Mech 1995, 2005, and unpublished). I recorded males making 121 raised-leg urinations (RLU) 22 June – 8 August and 30 standing urinations (STU) 15 June – 10 August; and females, 47 flexed-leg urinations (FLU) 15 June – 3 August, and 70 squat urinations (SQU) 15 June – 29 July (Table 2). The proportion of male RLU was significantly higher than the proportion of female FLUs ($\chi^2 = 45.01; P < 0.0001; df = 1$). The number of both male and female marks I observed per weekly observation period appeared to decrease over the summer (Table 2). The number of recorded urinations per observation period varied by year from 1.3 to 7.2 (Table 1).

In addition to FLUs by breeding females, I also observed a pre-breeding female (bred the next year) FLU twice on 6 August. An associate had also seen this animal do “slight FLUs” three times on 13 July (see Discussion.)

I observed double marking (Rothman and Mech 1979) 24 times between 26 June and 3 August. Double marking is marking of a single location by both members of a mated pair within a few minutes of each other and can be initiated by either member. Of eight possible combinations of double-marking postures (RLUs or STUs initiated by males, FLUs or SQUs initiated by females) I observed five of the combinations, with those involving RLU and FLU significantly predominating (Table 3).

I observed ground-scratching by both male and female breeding Wolves or by either individual as early as 15 June and as late as 31 July. The pre-breeding female (above) scratched as late as 6 August. Besides the breeders’ and pre-breeder’s ground-scratching, a non-breeding female was observed scratching during two different summers, the same Wolf each year. Of 16 summers when I observed breeding or mated Wolves, I recorded no scratching by them during seven of those years and three or less scratching events during six other summers.

Only during 1992, 1993, and 1996 did I see Wolves do much scratching, and both male and female breeders did so. During the above years, the breeding pair I studied, the same individuals each year, scratched regularly. Those two individuals had also been the breeders since 1989, but I saw little scratching during 1989-1991, 1994 or 1995. During 1992, the breeding pair scratched (and urine-marked – Table 2) much more than I had seen them in the past. They scratched (and urine-marked) especially (1) near a Muskox they had killed and at which a non-pack Wolf had also been feeding a few minutes before, (2) near a garbage dump where at least one non-pack Wolf had been feeding regularly and (3) along the easternmost location (ter-
ritory boundary?) where we saw them that summer. During 1996, the breeding female scratched and urine-marked while trying to force a Muskox away from the den. In another study area, in the Canadian Northwest Territories, I also observed wolves scratching several times at a Red Fox (Vulpes fulva) den (Mech unpublished) during August.

I observed ground-scratching in various elimination contexts: (1) with no other marking behavior, (2) followed by FLU or RLU, (3) preceded by FLU, RLU or SQU, (4) preceded and followed by FLU, or (5) preceded by defecation, similar to the findings of Peters and Mech (1975) and Mertl-Millhollen et al. (1986).

I also observed urine-marking in relation to food remains as Harrington (1981) also did. Breeding males and females used all four kinds of urine postures in marking uneaten food remains or prey odors including the following (1) Arctic Hare intestines; (2) chewed bones; (3) Arctic Hare stomach contents; (4) locations where regurgitated or other food had recently been eaten; (5) old kills; (6) the head of a recent Muskox kill; (7) a putrid Muskox carcass which they sometimes fed on but often passed up; (8) where another Wolf had tried but failed to catch a lemming; and (9) at caches of which they or another Wolf (including a pup) had just consumed the contents.

**Discussion**

Captive breeding male and female Wolves urinate-mark at all times of the year, with the peak frequency during the winter breeding season, and with all studies except that by Ryon and Brown (1990) observing that males tend to mark more year around than females (Peters and Mech 1975; Mertl-Millhollen et al. 1986; Asa et al. 1990; Barja and Miguel 2004).

Information on urine-marking frequencies during summer in captive wolves varies considerably. In one case, where the breeding pairs had pups, both males and females increased their marking rate during summer (Mertl-Millhollen et al. 1986). In another, where “reproductive pairs” were studied, but no pups were born, the males increased their rate of RLU during summer, but the females did not increase their FLU rate (Barja and Miguel 2004). In two others, where no pups were involved, the RLU and FLU rates of dominant males and females reached their minimum in summer (Asa et al. 1990; Ryon and Brown 1990). Testosterone tends to decrease to a minimum during summer (Asa et al. 1990), as does testis size (Mech 2006). This relationship suggests that urine-marking, which depends on testosterone (Asa et al. 1990), would also decrease then. However, the variations found in the studies cited above suggest that the social milieu can affect the relationship between testosterone and urine-marking, so that the relationship might not always be so tight, as Asa et al. (1990) also found. Because of possible biases mentioned earlier, my data can add little to this subject, although conceivably the extreme variation seen in my data reflect actual behavioral variation similar to that reported in captive Wolves. In that respect, my data on ground-scratching are instructive.

This study documents for the first time that wild Wolves urine-mark throughout summer, using all known urine postures, including double-marking, but

**TABLE 2.** Weekly distribution of observed urine marks made by breeding male (RLUs and STUs) and breeding female (FLUs and SQUs) Arctic Wolves, Ellesmere Island, Nunavut, Canada, 1986-2005.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summers</th>
<th>Number Periods</th>
<th>Male Marks</th>
<th>Male Marks Per Period</th>
<th>Female Marks</th>
<th>Female Marks Per Period</th>
<th>Total Marks</th>
<th>Total Marks Per Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-22 June</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-30 June</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-7 July</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-14 July</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-21 July</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-28 July</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 July–4 August</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 August</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Because of potential biases in times and methods of data collection, fine comparisons are not necessarily valid.

**TABLE 3.** Order and type of urination postures in double urine marks1 by arctic Wolves observed during summers 1986-2005 on Ellesmere Island, Nunavut, Canada. (Males do raised-leg [RLU] and standing [STU] urinations, and females, flexed-leg [FLU] and squat [SQU] urinations.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male Initiated</th>
<th>Female Initiated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RLU–FLU</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLU–SQU</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STU–FLU</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STU–SQU</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU–RLU</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU–STU</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQU–RLU</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQU–STU</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Urination sequences involving RLUs or FLUs occurred significantly more often than expected by chance ($\chi^2 = 6.86; P = 0.03; d.f. = 1$).
that the proportion of RLUs and FLUs to STUs and SQUs is lower than in captive Wolves during winter (Asa et al. 1990) when RLUs and FLUs tend to predominate (Peters and Mech 1975).

The fact that a pre-breeding female was seen FLUing ("RLU" in Mech 1995) is of special interest. Based on my observation of this pack the previous three summers, I inferred that she had been born two years earlier. This pre-breeder began dominating the breeding female, her apparent mother, about the time the pre-breeder began FLUing. The pre-breeder bred the next year, apparently with her father, and remained bonded to him for the next six years, producing pups during four of them while the mother remained with the pack for two more years without being seen FLUing, and then disappeared (Mech 1995 and unpublished). This behavior of a young offspring starting to FLU or RLU and challenging its same-sex parent for dominance is similar to that seen in a captive colony (Asa et al. 1990).

Previous information about free-ranging Wolves ground-scratching in summer comes only from a single study which concluded that between April and September, ground-scratching was low and stable (Zub et al. 2003). I could make no similar seasonal comparison, but it was clear from my multi-summer observations that the degree of ground-scratching varied considerably from summer to summer, with no scratching seen some summers and regular scratching during others. In 1992, the year of most scratching, the presence of non-pack Wolves seemed to be the pertinent stimulus. It was the only year I observed the Wolves I had been studying chase or attack outsiders on three occasions (Mech 1993). It was also the year when I recorded the highest amount of urine-marking (Table 1), when the Wolves usually were extra alert and looking around intently when they marked and scratched, and when they marked their easternmost locations, suggesting a territory boundary. My impression during these times was that the Wolves were in a high state of arousal and aggressiveness. Both breeding males and females scratched about equally, contrary to Mertl-Millhollen et al. (1986) who found primarily females scratching.

Regarding marking of food remains, my observations confirm those of Harrington (1981) on captive Wolves and support his conclusion that marking of recently emptied food caches (and by extension from my observations, other inedible food remains) signals that a site contains no more edible food despite lingering odors.

In summary, this study documents that much information learned about Wolf urine-marking behavior in captivity during summer applies to free-ranging Wolves; it extends information about ground-scratching; and it places information from captive studies about urine-marking of food remains in its natural context.
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