Regional Variation in *Amelanchier* in the Whitewood area of Southeastern Saskatchewan and the First Saskatchewan Records of *Amelanchier sanguinea*
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Based on measurements and evaluation of 111 specimens from 51 localities in the Whitewood area of southeastern Saskatchewan, most *Amelanchier alnifolia* from the prairie parkland is represented by short, stoloniferous shrubs, less than 2 m tall with 5–100+ stems. In the Moose Mountain area, *A. alnifolia* is represented by shrubs that are taller, sometimes to 7 m, not stoloniferous and usually having fewer than five stems. Although many of these plants have the inflorescence characteristics of *A. alnifolia*, some are referable to the eastern *A. sanguinea*, not previously reported from southeastern Saskatchewan.

Cronquist (1991) and petals 11–22 mm long instead of 6–10 mm long (e.g., Jones 1946). In addition, *A. sanguinea* and *A. humilis* since he combined the latter with the former and both occur in the general region and in northern Minnesota (Nielsen 1939; Jones 1946).

In general, flowering material of *A. sanguinea* is distinguished from *A. alnifolia* by having flowering pedicels more than 15 mm long instead of less than 10 mm long (Boivin 1967; Cinq-Mars 1971; Gleason and Cronquist 1991) and petals 11–22 mm long instead of 6–10 mm long (e.g., Jones 1946). In addition, *A. sanguinea* is characterized as a tree with oblong, pointed leaves and *A. alnifolia* is generally characterized as a stoloniferous shrub with truncate or rounded leaves (e.g., Nielsen 1939; Jones 1946; Fernald 1950). *Amelanchier humilis* is distinguished from *A. alnifolia* by its acute or obtuse instead of truncate or broadly rounded leaves (Cinq-Mars 1971; McGregor et al. 1986).

At least three species of *Amelanchier* are known to occur in the prairie region of Canada. *Amelanchier alnifolia* (Nutt.) Nutt. is widespread, *Amelanchier florinda* Lindl. is confined to ravines in the Cypress Hills (reported by some authors and not by others) and *A. sanguinea* (Pursh) DC. occurs in southeastern Manitoba (e.g., Boivin 1967; Looman 1979). Reports of *A. sanguinea* from the northern Great Plains (Stephenson 1973; McGregor et al. 1977) are based on *A. humilis* Wieg. (McGregor et al. 1986), whereas Boivin’s (1967) report of *A. sanguinea* in southeastern Manitoba may be based on material of both *A. sanguinea* and *A. humilis* since he combined the latter with the former and both occur in the general region and in northern Minnesota (Nielsen 1939; Jones 1946).

The concept of including *A. humilis* with *A. alnifolia* is not new since it was the basis for McKay’s (1973, 1975, 1976) transfer of Nielsen’s *A. humilis* var. *compacta* to *A. alnifolia* (A. alnifolia var. *compacta* (Nielsen) McKay).

During a survey of *Amelanchier* in the Whitewood and Moose Mountain areas of southeastern Saskatchewan in early June 2005, it appeared that plants in the prairie-parkland region (ecodistricts 752, 756, 760, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada 1995) differed from those on the elevated Moose Mountain plateau (ecodistrict 761) which was forested in presettlement times (Catling and Kostiuk 2006). This rolling plateau, almost 400 km² in extent with numerous lakes and well-drained wooded slopes with aspen (*Populus*) and birch (*Betula*) forest, is 100 – 150 m above the surrounding plains.

The objective of the work reported here was to document the variation and to provide a context for the first report of *Amelanchier sanguinea* in Saskatchewan. This information is relevant to systematic studies of *Amelanchier* and is also of interest with regard to the protection of native *Amelanchier* germplasm. Although Saskatoon (*Amelanchier alnifolia*) is one of Canada’s fastest growing native crops, its variation is not well understood and its domestication has yet to take advantage of the full range of variability available (Catling and Small 2003).
In June 2005, during late flowering, 111 specimens of *Amelanchier* were collected and measured from 51 sites in the Whitewood – Moose Mountain area of southeastern Saskatchewan (Figure 1). No more than five specimens were collected at each site and sites are defined as at least 0.1 km apart. Specimens were selected to represent the extremes of variation present at a site. For each specimen, lengths of lowest pedicel in an inflorescence of average size, average petal length in lowest flower in the inflorescence, average sepal length in lowest flower in the inflorescence, the plant habit (stoloniferous or not, height, number of stems), leaf pubescence (scale 1-4, 1 = glabrous, 4 = densely pubescent) and shape of the tip of a larger leaf (scale 1-5 with 1 = truncate, 5 = pointed). Stoloniferous shrubs were defined as having more than 6 separated stems and being less than 2 m in height. Non-stoloniferous shrubs were defined as having 5 or fewer stems together and exceeding 2 m in height.

The data were analysed using Principal Components Analysis (PCA), regression and histograms. PCA reduced dimensionality of six characters (Table 1), to two allowing for an evaluation of grouping and indication of pattern of variation. Histograms evaluated pedicel length with respect to habitat for different ecodistricts. F-ratios based on the comparison of the mean square variance of the regression model to the mean square of the residual indicated relationship and significance of individual characters noted above. Statgraphics software (www.statgraphics.com) was used to perform all the statistical analyses.

**Results and Discussion**

On the PCA plot (Figure 2) that reduces the dimensionality of six characters, the first two components account for 32.53% and 25.75% of the sample variation and the third and fourth account for 16.90% and 11.68%, respectively. On the first component, pedicel length, sepal length and height are heavily weighted whereas on the second component, leaf tip and leaf shape are heavily weighted. Leaf pubescence was not heavily weighted on either of the first two components. With a cumulative 58.28% of the sample variation accounted for in the diagram, the plot is marginally useful as an indication of potential groups, and groups are unclear. However, the plants from historically wooded Moose Mountain area occupy a specific part of the dispersion suggesting a different pattern of morphological variation from that represented elsewhere in the region.

The plants from Moose Mountain tend to have longer pedicels, and they are mostly non-stoloniferous (Figure 3). Variation in leaf tip shape, overall leaf shape, leaf pubescence and the inflorescence characters is extensive. Most plants on Moose Mountain that are presumably *A. sanguinea* on the basis of height and non-stoloniferous habit have to be treated as *A. alni-
folia on the basis of pedicels less than 15 mm long and petals less than 10 mm long. The ten plants potentially referable to A. sanguinea on the basis of pedicels over 15 mm (Figure 3) had leaves with variable tips. For example five shrubs had more or less truncate leaves, four had most leaves broadly rounded and two had many pointed leaves. Leaf shapes also varied. Eight of these are moderately pubescent but two are more or less glabrous. All of these ten had petals over 9 mm but under 12 mm long. The two specimens accepted as A. sanguinea (e.g., Figure 4 left) had pedicels 17 mm, petals 11–12 mm, pointed, moderately pubescent, oblong leaves. These two plants were distinctive in blooming later than other plants nearby that possessed fewer A. sanguinea characteristics. The specimen label data for these are: SASKATCHEWAN: Moose Mountain: White Bear Lake, 49.7550°N, 102.2565°W, 8 June 2005, P. M. Catling 05-27, B. Kostiuk (DAO); Good Bird Point, White Bear Lake, 49.7639°N, 102.2328°W, 6 June 2005, P. M. Catling 05-16, B. Kostiuk (DAO). Amelanchier sanguinea has not been reported previously from Saskatchewan (Harms 2003).

Amelanchier alnifolia from the prairie parkland is represented mostly by short, stoloniferous shrubs, less than 2 m tall with 5–100+ stems, but taller, non-stoloniferous shrubs also occur in poplar groves. The smaller stoloniferous plants often have distinctively short and dense racemes with pedicels little if at all longer than the petals (Figure 4, right). The leaves may be truncate or more or less pointed. The regressions indicated that pedicel length had the most significant relationships with other characteristics and was significantly correlated with ecodistrict (Table 1). Sepal length was also significantly correlated with other characters, but was not significantly associated with leaf tip which is regarded as a significant character for distinguishing A. alnifolia by some authors (see above). In overall addition of significance values, pedicel length was three time more significant than sepal length. Furthermore, sepals are more often damaged or shrunken (as in fruit) whereas pedicels are more often intact. This represents the first quantitative support for the use of pedicel length in defining taxa. Leaf shape was only highly correlated with leaf tip and was not significantly related to ecodistrict suggesting limited use in defining taxa. These suggestions are based on the operational concept of taxa being defined by a number of correlated morphological characters and by some ecological discreteness. Thus the more recently defined (see above) characters are supported and these are included in the following key which will differentiate the four species known from the prairie provinces.

1a. Pedicels short, less than 10 mm long; petals 4-10 mm long; mostly stoloniferous shrubs . . . . . . . . 2
2a. Leaves glabrous or sparsely tomentose at flowering, truncate or broadly rounded . . . . . A. alnifolia
2b. Leaves persistently pubescent at flowering, obtuse to acute . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A. humilis
1b. Pedicels longer, over 15 mm long; petals 11-22 mm long; non-stoloniferous shrubs . . . . . 3
3a. Leaves glabrous at flowering . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A. floridana
3b. Leaves more or less tomentose at flowering . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A. sanguinea
It appears that *A. sanguinea* is uncommon in the Moose Mountain upland whereas hybrids with *A. alnifolia* that have inflorescence features of *A. alnifolia*, and other characters in various combinations, are frequent. In many cases the difficulty in identifying *Amelanchier* material has been attributed to hybridization, introgression and agamospermy (e.g., Robinson 1982; Campbell and Wright 1996) but this aspect has yet to be investigated in the prairie region. There is much more variation in plants referred to *A. alnifolia* than was previously suspected. This variability appears to have a pattern to some extent associated with biogeographic boundaries. The correlation with biogeography may provide a useful basis for the utilization and protection of germplasm and it may also provide a means of determining groups and optimal characters in future taxonomic studies.

It is possible that differentiation of *Amelanchier* related to forested or unforested habitats in central North America has been going on for many thousands of years and that this phenomenon spread into southeastern Saskatchewan from the south following melting of the continental glacier about 10 000 years ago. Plants corresponding to *Amelanchier sanguinea* may have been present in the Moose Mountain area with early postglacial poplar- or spruce (*Picea*) dominated...
forests that were widespread for a short period about 10,000 years ago, prior to replacement by persisting grassland (e.g., Ritchie 1976), which isolated the forested uplands. Alternatively these plants could have invaded after the Moose Mountain forest was isolated. Consumption of seeds by berry-eating birds would allow long-distance dispersal between forest patches. The recent discovery is not an indication of very recent arrival (although that is a possibility); rather it suggests that the classification and ecology of *Amelanchier* on the Canadian prairies is not well understood and requires much more study.
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**TABLE 1. Significance of F-ratios from simple regression for seven characteristics of *Amelanchier* in the Whitewood area of southeastern Saskatchewan.** A significant relationship is defined as below 0.05 and is shown with boldface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pedicel length</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf tip</td>
<td>0.0679</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf shape (l/w)</td>
<td>0.1463</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf pubescence</td>
<td>0.3619</td>
<td>0.8416</td>
<td>0.7942</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sepal length</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.6304</td>
<td>0.0675</td>
<td>0.9942</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>height</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.3648</td>
<td>0.7270</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ecodistrict</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.3938</td>
<td>0.2410</td>
<td>0.0675</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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