Abstract

**Background:** *Veronia anthelmintica* (Kaliziri) is a medicinally very important plant as being reported for remarkable therapeutic potential. The present research evaluates its antischistosomal activity.

**Methods and Materials:** 54 buffaloes naturally infected with Schistosomiasis were used for anthelmintic trails. All animals for the study were tagged so as to distinguish from others. The animals were checked up on routine basis by a veterinary doctor. Preparation of samples of herbal drug and experiment was design according to available literature.

**Results:** During present studies, buffaloes naturally infected with Schistosomiasis were treated with *Veronia anthelmintica* (Kaliziri), with dose 75 mg/kg, 150 mg/kg and 225 mg/kg body weight respectively, and their impact on various parameters like eggs reduction, milk production, weight gain and feed intake was noted. Furthermore, their efficiency (%) was compared with standard drug “Praziquentel” (allopathic drug) at “10mg/Kg” body weight dose level. The effectiveness (%) of “Praziquentel” was noted as hundred after first dose, while the effectiveness for the herbal drug get to this level after injecting of second dose of “225mg/kg body weight”. Statistically significant (P<0.05 and P<0.001, respectively) increase in milk production was noted.

**Conclusion:** Keeping in view of our present findings, it is concluded that herbal treatment of bovine schistosomiasis with *Veronia anthelmintica* (seeds) is safe, easy, readily applicable and cheapest method and almost have no side effect.
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Introduction

From Pakistan, around two thousands plant species are reported for medicinal uses, of these, however, only “400-600” plant species are reported for medicinal purposes (Hazrat et al., 2007). Medicinal plants are used from many centuries to fighting parasitism. In many part of the world, these medicinal plants are still in practice for the treatment of various diseases. Plants or plant extract that are a

**Method and Materials**

The present study was conducted on 54 Babulus (buffaloes, both sexes) (5.0±1.15 years of age, infected with *Schistosoma bovis*). These selected animals were kept under similar feeding and environmental condition during the course of treatment. The present therapeutic trails were carried out in the month of September to October 2012, (temperature ranges “22.6 to 33.2° C”). The subject animals were tagged so as to differentiate them from each other. A veterinary doctor checked up the animals on regular basis.

**Preparation of Herbal Drugs & Experimental Design**

The herbs, *Veronia anthelmintica*, were got from the local marketplace and were cleaned from dust and other contamination, and then grind. The efficacy of the selected herb was then compared with “Praziquentel”. The processed herb (“in capsules”) was given orally to the subject animals, followed by method of (Jhangir et al. 2003). During therapeutic trails, the buffaloes were alienated into 3 groups “A, B and C”. Animals in group A were further sub divided into 3 “sub groups”, (A1, A2 and A3), each sub-group having 9 animals. Animals in groups A1, A2 and A3 were treated with *Veronia anthelmintica* at dosage rate of 75, 150, 225mg/kg.b.w. Animals of group B were given 10mg/kg.b.w of “Praziquantel” (PZQ) while group C animals were kept as untreated control. Group D (9 healthy animals) was kept as normal control group to
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compare with infected groups. “Eggs per gram” in faeces (EPG) were examined by “Mc Master Egg counting technique” (Soulby, 1982). All the Faecal samples were checked on “zero, 3rd, 7th and 18th day of treatment. After 18th day, the positive animals were again treated with a second dose and their faecal samples were examined on 21st and 28th day. The percentage effectiveness of the drugs was calculated as described by (Moskey and Hardwood 1941).

Efficacy (%): “Total no of eggs before treatment - Total no of eggs after treatment” X 100
“Total no of eggs before treatment”

Effects of the Herbal Treatment

Adverse effects of the drug if any were also noted i.e., “shivering, sweating, salivation and diarrhea” (Akhtar et al., 1987). In case of female lactating buffaloes, effect on milk production was also recorded before and after therapy (Maqbool et al., 2004). Effects of drugs on pregnant female buffaloes were also noted (Akhtar and Aslam, 1988).

Results

Comparative Efficacy (%) of Vernonia Anthelmintica and Praziquantal

The drug’s effectiveness (%) was calculated after treatment, on the basis of “egg reduction” given in (Table 2). The numbers of Schistosoma’s eggs (mean percentage) in the faecal samples of all treated groups were match up to the group kept in control (Table 1).

Efficacy of Vernonia Anthelmintica Seed Group A

V. anthelmintica seeds showed “3.70% and 42.40%” decline in EPG counts at 75 mg/kg dose on 18th and 28th day respectively. Furthermore, first high dose level (150 and 225 mg/kg of b.w) showed “45.0% and 78.03% reduction in egg count respectively while at second dose levels, the drug showed “92.5% and 100% effectiveness (Table 2). V. anthelmintica (p<0.05) significantly reduced EPG after treatment at all three dose levels and zero output was recorded in sub-group A3 on 28th day (Table 1).

Efficacy of Praziquantel Group B

At one dose level the efficacy of Praziquantel at 10mg/kg body weight was 100% (Table- 2). Statistical analysis showed high significant (P<0.001) reduction in EPG after treatment and became “zero on 18th day” (Table 1). The EPG count was increased significantly (p<0.01) 35.0% in group A (untreated control). The lowest (75mg/kg) dose level of herb showed significant (p<0.01) efficacy difference as compare to PZQ, while150 mg/kg and 225 mg/kg dose levels of the herb did not show any significant difference after therapy (Table 2).

Effects of the Herbal Treatment on Various Parameters

8.3% increase in milk production (non-significant) was noted at the end of treatment in sub group A1, whereas A2 & A3 subgroups showed 42.0% and 103.7% significant increase (p<0.05 and p<0.001 respectively) in milk production. 126% increase in milk production (highly significant P<0.001) was recorded in group B, while untreated buffaloes of Group C showed 53.1% decrease (significant, P<0.01) in milk production (Table 3). All groups of animals except group C showed increase in body weight non-significantly. The results showed that 30-96% increase (P<0.001, highly significant) in feed intake was recorded in all treated animals of group A & B, whereas healthy animals of group D showed slight increase 2.1% while infected animals of group C showed 32.4% decrease in feed intake. Three infected pregnant buffaloes were subjected to therapy, in which one was served with 225 mg/kg b.w of the herb and two were treated with 10mg/kg b.w of PZQ. No abortion and abnormality was noted during therapy (Table 3). All animals did not show any side effects.

Table 1: Comparative efficacy (%) of the seeds of Vernonia anthelmintica with Praziquantel against schistosomiasis in natural infected buffaloes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Sub-Group (n = 9)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg bw)</th>
<th>Eggs per gram of feces (EPG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1st dose</td>
<td>2nd dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 day</td>
<td>3rd day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Vernoniaanthelmintica (seeds)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>867±88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>867±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A3</td>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>900±58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Praziquantel (PZQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>93±33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (infected)</td>
<td>+ve control</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>767±33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (normal)</td>
<td>-ve control</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Student’s t-test: * = P<0.05, ** = P<0.01, *** = P<0.001, N.S. non-significant”
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Table 2: Efficacy (%) of *V. anthelmintica* seed and PZQ comparison, in natural infected buffaloes with schistosomiasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Sub-Group (n = 9)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg bw)</th>
<th>Efficacy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Vernonia <em>anthelmintica</em> (seeds)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.70±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>7.86±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A3</td>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>21.5±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>Praziquantel (PZQ)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>46.63±4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"ANOVA: Comparison within group by Tukey’s test: a = P<0.05, aa = P<0.01, aaa = P<0.001, comparison between same doses of different groups N.S. = non–significant, comparison of all doses with PZQ = b = P<0.05, bb = P<0.01, bbb = P<0.001"

Discussion

The seeds of *V. anthelmintica* at 75 mg/kg dose (group A) caused a 3.7% and 42.4% decrease in egg counts on 18<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> day respectively. Higher dose of 150 mg and 225 mg/kg of body weight were “45%, 92.5% and 78%, 100%” effective at 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> dose levels, respectively. *V. anthelmintica* also showed significantly decreased level in egg counts, and egg output becomes zero of sub-group A<sub>3</sub> at 28<sup>th</sup> day. (Javeed and Akhtar 1990) also used this herb against helminthes of goats with dose of 20 mg/kg bw and found 99.8% efficacy, which showed almost same efficacy as recorded in sub-group A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>3</sub> at end of the treatment of this study. *Vernonia anthelmintica* has been tried for its anthelmintic activity and found positive in favor of treatment against parasitic infection i.e., “Nadkarni (1954), Chopra et al. (1958), Singh et al. (1985), Javeed and Akhtar (1990) and Hordegon et al. (2003). Certain plants are more useful against specific parasitic infections than others (Athanasiadou et al., 2005 and Tzamaloukas et al., 2005) and it has been recommended that plant have more satisfactory anthelmintic effectiveness to substantiate their use based on commercial threshold (Ketzis et al., 2006). Therefore, medicinal plants remain of great scientific interest because of their anthelmintic activities, despite the wide use of synthetic chemicals in modern medical practices globally (Akhtar et al., 2000). Therapeutic plants have been used to cure parasitic infection in human beings and animals (“Nadkarni, 1954; Chopra et al., 1956; Seid, 1969; Akhtar et al., 2000; Waller et al., 2001; Kashwaha et al., 2004, Min et al., 2004, Sheik et al., 2004, Chandrawathani et al., 2006, Lange et al., 2006, Athanasiadou et al., 2007). Bah et al. (2006) described 655 plants of 30 different families against schistosomiasis in Mali and found that some plants may induce useful result in treatment of schistosomiasis. Comparative efficacy (%) of praziquantel (PZQ) with highest dose level of all herbs (225 mg/kg) in buffaloes did not show any significant difference. No reference in this regard is available because these herbs have been used for the first time in Pakistan with useful outcome. Various researchers reported effectiveness of PZQ (100%) as a safe drug to treat schistosomiasis (Mutapi et al., 2003; Van-der-Werf et al., 2003; Chaiworaporn et al., 2005; Tianping et al., 2006; Ragaa 2007 and Dadara et al., 2008). However, the resistance risk of the PZQ cannot be overlooked, as reported by Alonso et al. (2006), Yu-Hua et al 2006 and Doenhoff et al 2008).

Conclusion

Keeping in view of our present findings, it is concluded that herbal treatment of bovine schistosomiasis with *Vernonia anthelmintica* (seeds) is safe, easy, readily applicable and cheapest method and almost have no side effect.
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Table 3: Comparison of milk production (liters), body weight (kg) and feed intake (kg)/day before and after treatment of buffaloes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Sub-Groups</th>
<th>Milk production (liters) (n = 2/3)</th>
<th>Feed (g)/day (n = 5)</th>
<th>Body weight (kg) (n = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>Increase↑/ decrease↓ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>2.46±0.33</td>
<td>26.0±0.28</td>
<td>8.3 ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>3.13±0.21</td>
<td>4.43±0.27</td>
<td>42.0 ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>2.76±0.13</td>
<td>5.57±0.26</td>
<td>103.7***↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.73±0.17</td>
<td>6.10±0.11</td>
<td>126 ***↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.20±0.17</td>
<td>5.56±0.24</td>
<td>53.12***↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.73±0.31</td>
<td>6.73±0.31</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Student’s t-test: * = P<0.05, ** = P<0.01, *** = P<0.001, N.S. non-significant*
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